CSE 114A

Foundations of Programming Languages

Datatypes and Recursion

What is Haskell?

Last week:

- built-in data types
 - base types, tuples, lists (and strings)
- writing functions using pattern matching and recursion

This week:

- user-defined data types
 - and how to manipulate them using pattern matching and recursion
- more details about recursion

Representing complex data

- We've seen:
 - base types: Bool, Int, Integer, Float
 - some ways to build up types: given types T1, T2
 - functions: T1 -> T2
 - tuples: (T1, T2)
 - lists: [T1]
- Algebraic Data Types: a single, powerful technique for building up types to represent complex data
 - lets you define your own data types
 - subsumes tuples and lists!

Product types

• Tuples can do the job but there are two problems...

```
deadlineDate :: (Int, Int, Int)
deadlineDate = (2, 4, 2019)

deadlineTime :: (Int, Int, Int)
deadlineTime = (11, 59, 59)

-- | Deadline date extended by one day
extension :: (Int, Int, Int) -> (Int, Int, Int)
extension = ...
```

Can you spot them?

1. Verbose and unreadable

```
type Date = (Int, Int, Int)
type Time = (Int, Int, Int)
                                  A type synonym for T: a
                                  name that can be used
deadlineDate :: Date
                                  interchangeably with T
deadlineDate = (2, 4, 2019)
deadlineTime :: Time
deadlineTime = (11, 59, 59)
-- | Deadline date extended by one day
extension :: Date -> Date
extension = ...
```

2. Unsafe

We want this to fail at compile time!!!
 extension deadlineTime

Solution: Datatypes

```
deadlineDate :: Date
deadlineDate = Date 2 4 2019
```

Solution: Datatypes

Record Syntax

- Haskell's record syntax allows you to name the constructor parameters:
- Instead ofdata Date = Date Int Int Int

You can write:

Use the *field name* as a function to access part of the data

Building data types

- Three key ways to build complex types/values:
 - 1. Product types (each-of): a value of T contains a value of T1 and a value of T2 [done]
 - 2. Sum types (one-of): a value of T contains a value of T1 or a value of T2
 - 3. **Recursive types**: a value of T contains a *sub-value* of the same type Ts

Example: NanoMD

- Suppose I want to represent a *text document* with simple markup. Each paragraph is either:
 - plain text (String)
 - heading: level and text (Int and String)
 - list: ordered? and items (Bool and [String])
- I want to store all paragraphs in a list

Sum Types

- Solution: construct a new type for paragraphs that is a sum (one-of) the three options!
 - plain text (String)
 - heading: level and text (Int and String)
 - list: ordered? and items (Bool and [String])

```
What would GHCi say? *
```

```
data Paragraph =
    Text String | Heading Int String | List Bool [String]
What would GHCi say to
>:t Text "Hey there!"
   A. Syntax error
   B. Type error
   C. Paragraph
   D. [Paragraph]
   E. [String]
```



http://tiny.cc/cse116-para-ind

What would GHCi say? *

```
data Paragraph =
    Text String | Heading Int String | List Bool [String]
What would GHCi say to
>:t Text "Hey there!"
   A. Syntax error
   B. Type error
   C. Paragraph
   D. [Paragraph]
```

E. [String]



http://tiny.cc/cse116-para-grp

Constructing datatypes

```
data T =
    C1 T11 .. T1k
  C2 T21 .. T21
   Cn Tn1 .. Tnm
T is the new datatype
C1 ... Cn are the constructors of T
A value of type T is
   either C1 v1 .. vk with vi :: T1i
    or C2 \ v1 \dots v1 \ with \ vi :: T2i
  or ...
          Cn v1 ... vm with vi :: Tni
```

Constructing datatypes

You can think of a T value as a **box**:

- either a box labeled C1 with values of types T11 ... T1k inside
- or a box labeled C2 with values of types T21 ... T21 inside
- or ...
- or a box labeled Cn with values of types Tn1 ... Tnm inside

Apply a constructor = pack some values into a box (and label it)

- Text "Hey there!"
 - put "Hey there!" in a box labeled Text
- Heading 1 "Introduction"
 - put 1 and "Introduction" in a box labeled Heading
- Boxes have different labels but same type (Paragraph)

```
data Paragraph =
    Text String | Heading Int String | List Bool [String]
What would GHCi say to
>:t [Heading 1 "Introduction", Text "Hey there!"]
   A. Syntax error
   B. Type error
   C. Paragraph
   D. [Paragraph]
   E. [String]
```

http://tiny.cc/cse116-adt-ind

What is the type of *

```
data Paragraph =
    Text String | Heading Int String | List Bool [String]
What would GHCi say to
>:t [Heading 1 "Introduction", Text "Hey there!"]
   A. Syntax error
   B. Type error
   C. Paragraph
   D. [Paragraph]
   E. [String]
```



http://tiny.cc/cse116-adt-grp

Example: NanoMD

```
data Paragraph =
    Text String | Heading Int String | List Bool [String]
Now! can create a document like so:
doc :: [Paragraph]
doc = [
    Heading 1 "Notes from 130"
    , Text "There are two types of languages:"
    , List True ["purely functional", "purely evil"]
]
```

Example: NanoMD

Now I want convert documents in to HTML.

I need to write a function:

```
html :: Paragraph -> String
html p = ??? -- depends on the kind of
paragraph!
```

How to tell what's in the box?

Look at the label!

Pattern Matching

Pattern matching = looking at the label and extracting values from the box

```
data Paragraph =
    Text String | Heading Int String | List Bool [String]
html :: Paragraph -> String
html (Text str) = ...
  -- It's a plain text! Get string
html (Heading lvl str) = ...
  -- It's a heading! Get level and string
html (List ord items) = ...
  -- It's a list! Get ordered and items
```

Dangers of pattern matching (1)

```
html :: Paragraph -> String
html (Text str) = ...
html (List ord items) = ...
What would GHCi say to:
html (Heading 1 "Introduction")
Answer: Runtime error (no matching pattern)
```

Dangers of pattern matching (1)

Beware of missing and overlapped patterns

- GHC warns you about overlapped patterns
- GHC warns you about missing patterns when called with -W (use : set -W in GHCi)

Pattern matching expression

We've seen: pattern matching in equations

You can also pattern-match *inside your program* using the case expression:

```
html :: Paragraph -> String
html p =
  case p of
  Text str -> unlines [open "p", str, close "p"]
  Heading lvl str -> ...
List ord items -> ...
```

What is the type of *

```
let p = Text "Hey there!"
in case p of
    Text str -> str
    Heading lvl _ -> lvl
    List ord _ -> ord
```

- A. Syntax error
- B. Type error
- C. String
- O. Paragraph
- E. Paragraph -> String



http://tiny.cc/cse116-case-ind

What is the type of *

```
let p = Text "Hey there!"
in case p of
    Text str -> str
    Heading lvl _ -> lvl
    List ord _ -> ord
```

- A. Syntax error
- B. Type error
- C. String
- O. Paragraph
- E. Paragraph -> String



http://tiny.cc/cse116-case-grp

Pattern matching expression: typing

The case expression

```
case e of
  pattern1 -> e1
  pattern2 -> e2
  ...
  patternN -> eN
has type T if
```

- each e1...eN has type T
- e has some type D
- each pattern1...patternN is a valid pattern for D
- i.e. a variable or a constructor of D applied to other patterns. The expression e is called the *match scrutinee*

```
What is the type of *
```

```
let p = Text "Hey there!"
in case p of
    Text _ -> 1
    Heading _ _ -> 2
    List _ -> 3
```

- A. Syntax error
- B. Type error
- C. Paragraph
- O D. Int
- E. Paragraph -> Int



http://tiny.cc/cse116-case2-ind

```
What is the type of *
```

```
let p = Text "Hey there!"
in case p of
    Text _ -> 1
    Heading _ _ -> 2
    List _ -> 3
```

- A. Syntax error
- B. Type error
- C. Paragraph
- O D. Int
- E. Paragraph -> Int



http://tiny.cc/cse116-case2-grp

Building data types

- Three key ways to build complex types/values:
 - 1. Product types (each-of): a value of T contains a value of T1 and a value of T2 [done]
 - 2. Sum types (one-of): a value of T contains a value of T1 or a value of T2 [done]
 - 3. **Recursive types**: a value of T contains a *sub-value* of the same type Ts

Recursive types

Let's define natural numbers from scratch:

```
data Nat = ???
```

Recursive types

```
data Nat = Zero | Succ Nat
```

A Nat value is:

- either an empty box labeled Zero
- or a box labeled Succ with another Nat in it!

Some Nat values:

```
Zero -- 0
Succ Zero -- 1
Succ (Succ Zero) -- 2
Succ (Succ (Succ Zero)) -- 3
```

Functions on recursive types

Principle: Recursive code mirrors recursive data

1. Recursive type

1. Recursive type as a parameter

Step 1: add a pattern per constructor

1. Recursive type as a parameter

Step 2: fill in base case

1. Recursive type as a parameter

Step 3: fill in inductive case using a recursive call:

What does this evaluate to? *

```
let foo i = if i <= 0 then Zero else Succ (foo (i - 1))
in foo 2</pre>
```

- A. Syntax error
- B. Type error
- O C. 2
- D. Succ Zero
- E. Succ (Succ Zero)



http://tiny.cc/cse116-rectype-ind

What does this evaluate to? *

```
let foo i = if i <= 0 then Zero else Succ (foo (i - 1))
in foo 2</pre>
```

- A. Syntax error
- B. Type error
- O C. 2
- D. Succ Zero
- E. Succ (Succ Zero)



http://tiny.cc/cse116-rectype-grp

2. Recursive type as a result

2. Putting the two together

sub :: Nat -> Nat -> Nat

2. Putting the two together

```
data Nat = Zero -- base constructor
        Succ Nat -- inductive constructor
add :: Nat -> Nat -> Nat
add Zero m = m
                -- base case
add (Succ n) m = Succ (add n m) -- inductive case
sub :: Nat -> Nat -> Nat
sub n Zero = n -- base case 1
sub Zero = Zero -- base case 2
sub (Succ n) (Succ m) = sub n m -- inductive case
```

2. Putting the two together

```
Lessons learned:

    Recursive code mirrors recursive data

add
     • With multiple arguments of a recursive type,
add
       which one should I recurse on?
add
      • The name of the game is to pick the
sub
        right inductive strategy!
sub
                                    -- base case 2
sub Zero
sub (Succ n) (Succ m) = sub n m -- inductive case
```

Lists aren't built-in! They are an algebraic data type like any other:

- [1, 2, 3] is represented as Cons 1 (Cons 2 (Cons 3 Nil))
- Built-in list constructors [] and (:) are just fancy syntax
 for Nil and Cons

Functions on lists follow the same general strategy:

What is the right inductive strategy for appending two lists?

```
append :: List -> List -> List
append ??? ??? = ???
```

What is the right *inductive strategy* for appending two lists?

```
append :: List -> List
append Nil ys = ys
append ??? ??? = ???
```

What is the right *inductive strategy* for appending two lists?

```
append :: List -> List -> List
append Nil ys = ys
append (Cons x xs) ys = Cons x (append xs ys)
```

Trees

Lists are unary trees with elements stored in the nodes:

```
1 - 2 - 3 - ()

data List = Nil | Cons Int List
```

How do we represent *binary trees* with elements stored in the nodes?

What is a Haskell datatype for binary trees with elements stored in the nodes? *



- (A) data Tree = Leaf | Node Int Tree
- (B) data Tree = Leaf | Node Tree Tree
- (C) data Tree = Leaf | Node Int Tree Tree http://tiny.cc/cse116-tree-ind
- (D) data Tree = Leaf Int | Node Tree Tree
- (E) data Tree = Leaf Int | Node Int Tree Tree

What is a Haskell datatype for binary trees with elements stored in the nodes? *



- (A) data Tree = Leaf | Node Int Tree
- (B) data Tree = Leaf | Node Tree Tree
- (C) data Tree = Leaf | Node Int Tree Tree http://tiny.cc/cse116-tree-grp
- (D) data Tree = Leaf Int | Node Tree Tree
- (E) data Tree = Leaf Int | Node Int Tree Tree

Trees

```
1 - 2 - 3 - ()
 | | \()
 | \()
 \ 4 - ()
     \ ()
data Tree = Leaf | Node Int Tree Tree
t1234 = Node 1 (Node 2 (Node 3 Leaf
                              Leaf)
                      Leaf)
              (Node 4 Leaf
                      Leaf)
```

Functions on trees

```
depth :: Tree -> Int
depth Leaf = 0
depth (Node _ l r) = 1 + max (depth l) (depth r)
```

What is a Haskell datatype for binary trees with elements stored in the leaves? *

- (A) data Tree = Leaf | Node Int Tree
- (B) data Tree = Leaf | Node Tree Tree
- (C) data Tree = Leaf | Node Int Tree Tree
- (D) data Tree = Leaf Int | Node Tree Tree
- (E) data Tree = Leaf Int | Node Int Tree Tree



http://tiny.cc/cse116-leaves-ind

What is a Haskell datatype for binary trees with elements stored in the leaves? *

- (A) data Tree = Leaf | Node Int Tree
- (B) data Tree = Leaf | Node Tree Tree
- (C) data Tree = Leaf | Node Int Tree Tree
- (D) data Tree = Leaf Int | Node Tree Tree
- (E) data Tree = Leaf Int | Node Int Tree Tree



http://tiny.cc/cse116-leaves-grp

Binary trees

```
() - () - () - 1
\ 2
  \ 3
  \ () - 4
data Tree = Leaf Int | Node Tree Tree
t12345 = Node (Node (Leaf 1)
                       (Leaf 2))
                 (Leaf 3))
            (Node (Leaf 4)
                 (Leaf 5))
```

I want to implement an arithmetic calculator to evaluate expressions like:

```
4.0 + 2.9
3.78 - 5.92
(4.0 + 2.9) * (3.78 - 5.92)
```

What is a Haskell datatype to *represent* these expressions?

```
data Expr = ???
```

How do we write a function to evaluate an expression?

```
eval :: Expr -> Float
```

How do we write a function to evaluate an expression?

How do we write a function to evaluate an expression?

```
eval :: Expr -> Float
eval (Num f) = f
eval (Add e1 e2) = eval e1 + eval e2
eval (Sub e1 e2) = eval e1 - eval e2
eval (Mul e1 e2) = eval e1 * eval e2
```

Recursion is...

Building solutions for *big problems* from solutions for *sub-problems*

- Base case: what is the *simplest version* of this problem and how do I solve it?
- Inductive strategy: how do I break down this problem into sub-problems?
- **Inductive case:** how do I solve the problem *given* the solutions for subproblems?

Why use Recursion?

- 1. Often far simpler and cleaner than loops
 - But not always...
- 2. Structure often forced by recursive data
- 3. Forces you to factor code into reusable units (recursive functions)

Why *not* use Recursion?

- 1.Slow
- 2. Can cause stack overflow

Example: factorial

```
fac :: Int -> Int
fac n
 <fac 4>
 ==> <4 * <3 * <fac 2>>> -- recursively call `fact 2`
 ==> <4 * <3 * <2 * <fac 1>>>> -- recursively call `fact 1`
 ==> <4 * <3 * <2 * 1>>>
                  -- multiply 2 to result
 ==> <4 * <3 * 2>>
               -- multiply 3 to result
 ==> <4 * 6>
                      -- multiply 4 to result
 ==> 24
```

Example: factorial

Each function call <> allocates a frame on the call stack

- expensive
- the stack has a finite size

Can we do recursion without allocating stack frames?

Tail recursion

A recursive call is <u>tail-recursive</u> if it is the *top-most* sub-expression in the function body

- i.e. no computations allowed on recursively returned value
- i.e. value returned by the recursive call == value returned by function

Is this function tail recursive? *



http://tiny.cc/cse116-tail-ind

Is this function tail recursive? *



http://tiny.cc/cse116-tail-grp

Let's write a tail-recursive factorial!

Let's write a tail-recursive factorial!

Each recursive call **directly** returns the result

- without further computation
- no need to remember what to do next!
- no need to store the "empty" stack frames!

```
Because the compiler can transform it into a fast loop
facTR n = loop 1 n
  where
    loop acc n
      \mid n <= 1 = acc
      \mid otherwise = loop (acc * n) (n - 1)
function facTR(n){
  var acc = 1;
  while (true) {
    if (n <= 1) { return acc ; }
                 { acc = acc * n; n = n - 1; }
    else
```

- Tail recursive calls can be optimized as a loop
 - no stack frames needed!
- Part of the language specification of most functional languages
 - compiler guarantees to optimize tail calls

That's all folks!