CSE 114A

Introduction to Functional Programming

Higher-Order Functions

Plan for this week

Last week:

- user-defined data types
 - and how to manipulate them using pattern matching and recursion
- how to make recursive functions more efficient with tail recursion

This week:

- code reuse with higher-order functions (HOFs)
- some useful HOFs: map, filter, and fold

Recursion is good

- Recursive code mirrors recursive data
 - Base constructor -> Base case
 - Inductive constructor -> Inductive case (with recursive call)
- But it can get kinda repetitive!

Example: evens

Let's write a function evens:

```
-- evens [] ==> []
-- evens [1,2,3,4] ==> [2,4]
evens :: [Int] -> [Int]
evens [] = ...
evens (x:xs) = ...
```

Example: four-letter words

Let's write a function fourChars:

```
-- fourChars [] ==> []
-- fourChars ["i", "must", "do", "work"] ==> ["must", "work"]

fourChars :: [String] -> [String]

fourChars [] = ...

fourChars (x:xs) = ...
```

Yikes, Most Code is the Same!

Only difference is **condition**

• $x \mod 2 == 0 \text{ vs length } x == 4$

Moral of the day

D.R.Y. Don't Repeat Yourself!

Can we

- reuse the general pattern and
- *substitute in* the custom condition?

HOFs to the rescue!

General Pattern

- expressed as a higher-order function
- takes customizable operations as arguments

Specific Operation

passed in as an argument to the HOF

The "filter" pattern

Use the filter pattern to avoid duplicating code!

The "filter" pattern

General Pattern

- HOF filter
- Recursively traverse list and pick out elements that satisfy a predicate

Specific **Operation**

Predicates is Even and is Four

```
evens = filter isEven
where
isEven x = x `mod` 2 == 0
```

```
fourChars = filter isFour
where
isFour x = length x == 4
```

```
-- evens [1,2,3,4] ==> [2,4]

evens :: [Int] -> [Int]

evens xs = filter isEven xs

where

isEven :: Int -> Bool

isEven x = x `mod` 2 == 0

filter :: ???
```

```
-- evens [1,2,3,4] ==> [2,4]

evens :: [Int] -> [Int]

evens xs = filter isEven xs

where

isEven :: Int -> Bool

isEven x = x `mod` 2 == 0

filter :: ???
```

```
-- fourChars ["i","must","do","work"] ==> ["must","work"]
fourChars :: [String] -> [String]
fourChars xs = filter isFour xs
  where
    isFour :: String -> Bool
    isFour x = length x == 4
filter :: ???
```

Uh oh! So what's the type of filter?

```
filter :: (Int -> Bool) -> [Int] -> [Int] -- ???

filter :: (String -> Bool) -> [String] -> [String] -- ???
```

- It does not care what the list elements are
 - as long as the predicate can handle them
- It's type is **polymorphic** (generic) in the type of list elements

```
-- For any type `a`
-- if you give me a predicate on `a`s
-- and a list of `a`s,
-- I'll give you back a list of `a`s
filter :: (a -> Bool) -> [a] -> [a]
```

Example: all caps

Lets write a function shout:

```
-- shout [] ==> []
-- shout ['h','e','L','L','o'] ==> ['H','E','L','L','O']
shout :: [Char] -> [Char]
shout [] = ...
shout (x:xs) = ...
```

Example: squares

Lets write a function squares:

```
-- squares [] ==> []
-- squares [1,2,3,4] ==> [1,4,9,16]
squares :: [Int] -> [Int]
squares [] = ...
squares (x:xs) = ...
```

Yikes, Most Code is the Same!

Lets rename the functions to foo:

```
-- shout
foo [] = []
foo (x:xs) = toUpper x : foo xs

-- squares
foo [] = []
foo (x:xs) = (x * x) : foo xs
```

Lets refactor into the common pattern

```
pattern = ...
```

The "map" pattern

```
shout [] = []
shout (x:xs) = toUpper x : shout xs
```

```
squares [] = []
squares (x:xs) = (x*x) : squares xs
```

```
map f [] = []
map f (x:xs) = f x : map f xs
```

The map Pattern

General Pattern

- HOF map
- Apply a transformation f to each element of a list

Specific Operations

• Transformations to Upper and $x \rightarrow x * x$

The "map" pattern

shout = map $(\x -> toUpper x)$

```
\mathsf{map} \; \mathsf{f} \; [] \qquad = []
map f(x:xs) = fx : map fxs
Lets refactor shout and squares
shout = map ...
squares = map ...
                  \mathsf{map} \ \mathbf{f} \ [] \qquad = \ []
                  map f (x:xs) = f x : map f xs
```

squares = map $(\x -> x*x)$

QUIZ

What is the type of map? *

```
map f [] = []
map f (x:xs) = f x : map f xs

(A) (Char -> Char) -> [Char] -> [Char]
(B) (Int -> Int) -> [Int] -> [Int]

(C) (a -> a) -> [a] -> [a]

(D) (a -> b) -> [a] -> [b]
```

(E) (a -> b) -> [c] -> [d]



http://tiny.cc/cse116-map-ind

QUIZ

What is the type of map? *

```
\mathsf{map}\;\mathsf{f}\;[]\;\;=\;[]
map f (x:xs) = f x : map f xs
(A) (Char -> Char) -> [Char] -> [Char]
(B) (Int -> Int) -> [Int] -> [Int]
(C) (a -> a) -> [a] -> [a]
(D) (a -> b) -> [a] -> [b]
(E) (a -> b) -> [c] -> [d]
```



http://tiny.cc/cse116-map-grp

The "map" pattern

```
-- For any types `a` and `b`
-- if you give me a transformation from `a` to `b`
-- and a list of `a`s,
-- I'll give you back a list of `b`s

map :: (a -> b) -> [a] -> [b]
```

Type says it all!

 The only meaningful thing a function of this type can do is apply its first argument to elements of the list (Hoogle it!)

Things to try at home:

- can you write a function map' :: (a -> b) -> [a] -> [b] whose behavior is different from map?
- can you write a function map':: (a -> b) -> [a] -> [b] such that map' f xs returns a list whose elements are not in map f xs?

QUIZ

What is the value of quiz? *

quiz = map (\(x, y) ->
$$x + y$$
) [1, 2, 3]

- (A) [2, 4, 6]
- (B) [3, 5]
- (C) Syntax Error
- (D) Type Error
- (E) None of the above



http://tiny.cc/cse116-quiz-ind

QUIZ

What is the value of quiz? *

quiz = map (\(x, y) ->
$$x + y$$
) [1, 2, 3]

- (A) [2, 4, 6]
- (B) [3, 5]
- (C) Syntax Error
- (D) Type Error
- (E) None of the above



http://tiny.cc/cse116-quiz-grp

Don't Repeat Yourself

Benefits of **factoring** code with HOFs:

- Reuse iteration pattern
 - think in terms of standard patterns
 - less to write
 - easier to communicate
- Avoid bugs due to repetition

Recall: length of a list

```
-- Len [] ==> 0

-- Len ["carne", "asada"] ==> 2

len :: [a] -> Int

len [] = 0

len (x:xs) = 1 + len xs
```

Recall: summing a list

```
-- sum [] ==> 0

-- sum [1,2,3] ==> 6

sum :: [Int] -> Int

sum [] = 0

sum (x:xs) = x + sum xs
```

Example: string concatenation

Let's write a function cat:

```
-- cat [] ==> ""

-- cat ["carne", "asada", "torta"] ==> "carneasadatorta"

cat :: [String] -> String

cat [] = ...

cat (x:xs) = ...
```

Can you spot the pattern?

```
-- Len
foo [] = 0
foo (x:xs) = 1 + foo xs
-- SUM
foo [] = 0
foo (x:xs) = x + foo xs
-- cat
foo []
foo (x:xs) = x ++ foo xs
pattern = ...
```

```
len [] = 0 | sum [] = 0 | cat [] = "" | cat (x:xs) = x + sum xs
```

```
foldr f b [] = b
foldr f b (x:xs) = f x (foldr f b xs)
```

The foldr Pattern

General Pattern

- Recurse on tail
- Combine result with the head using some binary operation

```
foldr f b [] = b
foldr f b (x:xs) = f x (foldr f b xs)
Let's refactor sum, len and cat:
sum = foldr ... ...
cat = foldr ... ...
len = foldr ... ...
```

Factor the recursion out!

```
foldr f b [] = b
foldr f b (x:xs) = f x (foldr f b xs)
```

```
len = foldr (x n -> 1 + n) 0

sum = foldr (x n -> x + n) 0

cat = foldr (x s -> x + n) ""
```

You can write it more clearly as

```
sum = foldr (+) 0
cat = foldr (++) ""
```

```
foldr f b [] = b
foldr f b (x:xs) = f x (foldr f b xs)
```

```
len = foldr (x n -> 1 + n) 0

sum = foldr (x n -> x + n) 0

cat = foldr (x s -> x + n) ""
```

You can write it more clearly as

```
sum = foldr (+) 0
cat = foldr (++) ""
```

QUIZ

What does this evaluate to? *

```
foldr f b [] = b
foldr f b (x:xs) = f x (foldr f b xs)
quiz = foldr (:) [] [1,2,3]
```

- (A) Type error
- (B) [1,2,3]
- (C) [3,2,1]
- (D) [[3],[2],[1]]
- (E) [[1],[2],[3]]



http://tiny.cc/cse116-foldeval-ind

QUIZ

What does this evaluate to? *

```
foldr f b [] = b
foldr f b (x:xs) = f x (foldr f b xs)
quiz = foldr (:) [] [1,2,3]
```

- (A) Type error
- (B) [1,2,3]
- (C) [3,2,1]
- (D) [[3],[2],[1]]
- (E) [[1],[2],[3]]



http://tiny.cc/cse116-foldeval-grp

```
foldr f b [] = b
foldr f b (x:xs) = f x (foldr f b xs)
foldr (:) [] [1,2,3]
  ==> (:) 1 (foldr (:) [] [2, 3])
  ==> (:) 1 ((:) 2 (foldr (:) [] [3]))
  ==> (:) 1 ((:) 2 ((:) 3 (foldr (:) [] [])))
  ==> (:) 1 ((:) 2 ((:) 3 []))
  == 1 : (2 : (3 : []))
  == [1,2,3]
```

The "fold-right" pattern

```
foldr f b [x1, x2, x3, x4]
==> f x1 (foldr f b [x2, x3, x4])
==> f x1 (f x2 (foldr f b [x3, x4]))
==> f x1 (f x2 (f x3 (foldr f b [x4])))
==> f x1 (f x2 (f x3 (f x4 (foldr f b []))))
==> f x1 (f x2 (f x3 (f x4 b)))
```

Accumulate the values from the right

For example:

```
foldr (+) 0 [1, 2, 3, 4]

==> 1 + (foldr (+) 1 [2, 3, 4])

==> 1 + (2 + (foldr (+) 0 [3, 4]))

==> 1 + (2 + (3 + (foldr (+) 0 [4])))

==> 1 + (2 + (3 + (4 + (foldr (+) 0 []))))

==> 1 + (2 + (3 + (4 + 0)))
```

What is the most general type of foldr? *

- (A) (a -> a -> a) -> a -> [a] -> a
- (B) (a -> a -> b) -> a -> [a] -> b
- (C) (a -> b -> a) -> b -> [a] -> b
- (D) (a -> b -> b) -> b -> [a] -> b
- (E) (b -> a -> b) -> b -> [a] -> b



http://tiny.cc/cse116-foldtype-ind

What is the most general type of foldr? *



http://tiny.cc/cse116-foldtype-grp

The "fold-right" pattern

Is foldr tail recursive?

Answer: No! It calls the binary operations on the results of the recursive call

Let's write tail-recursive Sum!

```
sumTR :: [Int] -> Int
sumTR = ...
```

Let's write tail-recursive Sum!

```
sumTR :: [Int] -> Int
sumTR xs = helper 0 xs
where
  helper acc [] = acc
helper acc (x:xs) = helper (acc + x) xs
```

Lets run SumTR to see how it works

```
sumTR [1,2,3]
==> helper 0 [1,2,3]
==> helper 1 [2,3] -- 0 + 1 ==> 1
==> helper 3 [3] -- 1 + 2 ==> 3
==> helper 6 [] -- 3 + 3 ==> 6
==> 6
```

Note: helper directly returns the result of recursive call!

Let's write tail-recursive cat!

```
catTR :: [String] -> String
catTR = ...
```

Let's write tail-recursive cat!

```
catTR :: [String] -> String
catTR xs = helper "" xs
   where
   helper acc [] = acc
   helper acc (x:xs) = helper (acc ++ x) xs
```

Lets run catTR to see how it works

Note: helper directly returns the result of recursive call!

Can you spot the pattern?

```
-- sumTR
foo xs
                      = helper 0 xs
 where
    helper acc [] = acc
    helper acc (x:xs) = helper (acc + x) xs
-- catTR
foo xs
                      = helper "" xs
 where
    helper acc [] = acc
    helper acc (x:xs) = helper (acc ++ x) xs
pattern = ...
```

The "fold-left" pattern

```
cat xs = helper "" xs
where
  helper acc [] = acc
helper acc (x:xs) = helper (acc ++ x) xs
```

The foldl Pattern

General Pattern

- Use a helper function with an extra accumulator argument
- To compute new accumulator, combine current accumulator with the head using some binary operation

The "fold-left" pattern

```
foldl f b xs
                     = helper b xs
 where
   helper acc [] = acc
    helper acc (x:xs) = helper (f acc x) xs
Let's refactor sumTR and catTR:
sumTR = foldl \dots
catTR = foldl ... ...
```

Factor the tail-recursion out!

```
What does this evaluate to? *
```

```
quiz = foldl (:) [] [1,2,3]
```

- (A) Type error
- (B) [1,2,3]
- (C) [3,2,1]
- (D) [[3],[2],[1]]
- (E) [[1],[2],[3]]



```
What does this evaluate to? *
```

```
quiz = foldl (:) [] [1,2,3]
```

- (A) Type error
- (B) [1,2,3]
- (C) [3,2,1]
- (D) [[3],[2],[1]]
- (E) [[1],[2],[3]]



```
What does this evaluate to? *
```

```
foldl f b xs = helper b xs
  where
     helper acc [] = acc
     helper acc (x:xs) = helper (f acc x) xs
quiz = foldl (xs x -> x : xs) [] [1,2,3]
  (A) Type error
  (B) [1,2,3]
(C) [3,2,1]
  (D) [[3],[2],[1]]
  (E) [[1],[2],[3]]
```



```
What does this evaluate to? *
```

```
foldl f b xs = helper b xs
  where
     helper acc [] = acc
     helper acc (x:xs) = helper (f acc x) xs
quiz = foldl (xs x -> x : xs) [] [1,2,3]
  (A) Type error
  (B) [1,2,3]
(C) [3,2,1]
  (D) [[3],[2],[1]]
  (E) [[1],[2],[3]]
```



The "fold-left" pattern

Accumulate the values from the left

For example:

Left vs. Right

```
foldl f b [x1, x2, x3] ==> f (f (f b x1) x2) x3 -- Left
foldr f b [x1, x2, x3] ==> f x1 (f x2 (f x3 b)) -- Right
For example:
fold1 (+) 0 [1, 2, 3] ==> ((0 + 1) + 2) + 3 -- Left
foldr (+) 0 [1, 2, 3] ==> 1 + (2 + (3 + 0)) -- Right
Different types!
fold1 :: (b -> a -> b) -> b -> [a] -> b -- Left
foldr :: (a -> b -> b) -> b -> [a] -> b -- Right
```

Useful HOF: flip

```
-- you can write
foldl (\x x \rightarrow x : xs) [] [1,2,3]
-- more concisely like so:
foldl (flip (:)) [] [1,2,3]
What is the type of flip?
flip :: (a -> b -> c) -> b -> a -> c
```

Useful HOF: compose

```
-- you can write

map (\x -> f (g x)) ys

-- more concisely like so:

map (f . g) ys

What is the type of (.)?

(.) :: (b -> c) -> (a -> b) -> a -> c
```

Higher Order Functions

Iteration patterns over collections:

- Filter values in a collection given a predicate
- Map (iterate) a given transformation over a collection
- **Fold** (reduce) a collection into a value, given a *binary* operation to combine results

Useful helper HOFs:

- Flip the order of function's (first two) arguments
- Compose two functions

Higher Order Functions

HOFs can be put into libraries to enable modularity

- Data structure library implements map, filter, fold for its collections
 - generic efficient implementation
 - generic optimizations: map f (map g xs) --> map (f.g) xs
- Data structure clients use HOFs with specific operations
 - no need to know the implementation of the collection

Enabled the "big data" revolution e.g. MapReduce, Spark

That's all folks!