

# CSE114A - lecture 3

agenda:

- recap:  $\beta$ -rule ✓
- $\lambda$ -calc Syntax ✓
- Redexes and normal form
- How to encode things we want in lambda calculus
  - Booleans (true, false)
  - Boolean operations (and, or, not, ...)
  - conditionals (if a, then... else)
  - more if time!

## The $\beta$ -rule

$$(\lambda x \rightarrow e_1) e_2 \Rightarrow e_1 [x := e_2]$$

" $e_1$ , but with occurrences of  $x$  replaced with the argument  $e_2$ "

$\lambda x \rightarrow x$      $\lambda y \rightarrow y$      $\Rightarrow$      $x [x := \lambda y \rightarrow y]$   
 function    argument    =  $\lambda y \rightarrow y$

Let's write a function (if we can) that takes two arguments and returns the first one.

$\lambda x \rightarrow (\lambda y \rightarrow x)$     ←    A machine for making constant functions!  
 $\lambda x \rightarrow (\lambda y \rightarrow x)$     "yuki"  
 Function    argument

Quiz question 1: Apply this function to this argument using the  $\beta$ -rule. What do you get?

$\Rightarrow$   $\lambda y \rightarrow$  "yuki"    ←    The constant function that returns "yuki"!  
 one  $\beta$ -step

$(\lambda x \rightarrow (\lambda y \rightarrow x))$  "yuki"    "islay"  
 $\Rightarrow$  "yuki"  
 any number of  $\beta$ -steps

## $\lambda$ -calculus syntax

3 Syntactic Forms:

- variables,
- function definitions (aka lambda abstraction)
- function calls (aka application)

$$e ::= x \mid \lambda x \rightarrow e \mid e_1 e_2$$

↑  
expression

## Notational conventions

- The body of a lambda abstraction extends as far right as possible.

Lecture quiz question 2:

does  $\lambda x \rightarrow m n$  mean:

(a)  $\lambda x \rightarrow (m n)$

or

(b)  $(\lambda x \rightarrow m) n$

→ it's (a)!

- Applications are left-associative:

$p q r$   
 means  $(p q) r$ ,  
 not  $p (q r)$ .

Think of " $p q r$ " as being a call to the function  $p$ , but with two arguments... but  $p$  gets them one at a time.

(This is called currying!)

- Instead of, e.g.,

$$\lambda x \rightarrow (\lambda y \rightarrow (\lambda z \rightarrow e)),$$

as a shorthand we can write

$$\lambda x \rightarrow \lambda y \rightarrow \lambda z \rightarrow e$$

and then further shorten it to

$$\lambda x y z \rightarrow e$$

Putting together all these conventions:

$$(((\lambda x \rightarrow (\lambda y \rightarrow (\lambda z \rightarrow z))) q) r) s$$

can be concisely written as:

$$(\lambda x y z \rightarrow z) q r s$$

Let's evaluate this:

a place to use the  $\beta$ -rule!

$$((\lambda x y z \rightarrow z) q) r s$$

$$\Rightarrow ((\lambda y z \rightarrow z) r) s$$

$$\Rightarrow (\lambda z \rightarrow z) s$$

$$\Rightarrow s$$

"A place to use the  $\beta$ -rule" is a reducible expression, also known as a redex.

A redex is an expression that can be reduced by taking a  $\Rightarrow$  step!

A  $\lambda$ -calc expression in normal form is one that has no redexes.

You might have options for where to take a  $\Rightarrow$  step!

$$(\lambda x y \rightarrow (\lambda z \rightarrow z) x) \text{ "yuki" "islay"}$$

$$\Rightarrow (\lambda x y \rightarrow x) \text{ "yuki" "islay"}$$

or, we could've done...

$$\Rightarrow (\lambda y \rightarrow (\lambda z \rightarrow z) \text{ "yuki"}) \text{ "islay"}$$

it doesn't matter which order of evaluation you pick - the eventual result will be the same!