CSE114A, Winter 2025: Final Exam

Instructor: Lindsey Kuper

March 17, 2025

Student name:	
CruzID:	@ucsc.edu

This exam has 19 questions and 100 total points.

Instructions

- Please write directly on the exam.
- For short answer questions, please write your answer in the provided boxes. You can use space outside of the boxes as scratch space, but we won't see or grade it.
- For multiple choice questions, please circle the correct choice.
- You have 180 minutes to complete this exam. You may leave when you are finished.
- This exam is **closed book**. You may use one double-sided page of notes, but no other materials.
- Avoid seeing anyone else's work or allowing yours to be seen.
- Please, no talking. No notes, books, laptops, phones, or other electronic devices. Do not communicate with anyone but an exam proctor.
- To ensure fairness (and the appearance thereof), **proctors will not answer questions about the content of the exam**. If you are unsure of how to interpret a problem description, state your interpretation clearly and concisely. *Reasonable interpretations* will be taken into account by graders.
- We will give partial credit for partially correct answers when it makes sense to do so. A partially correct answer is better than leaving an answer blank.

Good luck!

This page is for your use as scratch space. Anything you write here will be ungraded.

Part 1: Lambda Calculus

1. (3 points) A lambda calculus expression is in *normal form* if it cannot be further reduced. Evaluate the following lambda calculus expression to normal form using a series of β -reduction steps (and only β -reduction steps – you shouldn't need anything else). Start each line with =b>, as if you were using Elsa, and do just one β -reduction step per line.

```
(\xy -> y x y) (\qr -> q) (\fz -> f (f z))
```

```
Solution: Here is one possible solution:
   (\x y -> y x y) (\q r -> q) (\f z -> f (f z))
  =b> (\y -> y (\q r -> q) y) (\f z -> f (f z))
  =b> (f z \rightarrow f (f z)) (q r \rightarrow q) (f z \rightarrow f (f z))
  =b> (\langle z \rangle - \langle (q \rangle - q) \rangle ((\langle q \rangle - q) \rangle z)) (\langle f \rangle - q)
  =b> (\q r -> q) ((\q r -> q) (\f z -> f (f z)))
  =b> \r -> ((\q r -> q) (\f z -> f (f z)))
  =b> \r -> (\r -> (\f z -> f (f z)))
And here's another:
   (\xy \rightarrow y x y) (\q r \rightarrow q) (\f z \rightarrow f (f z))
  =b> (\y -> y (\q r -> q) y) (\f z -> f (f z))
  =b> (f z \rightarrow f (f z)) (q r \rightarrow q) (f z \rightarrow f (f z))
  =b> (\z -> (\q r -> q) ((\q r -> q) z)) (\f z -> f (f z))
  =b> (\z \rightarrow (\q r \rightarrow q) (\r \rightarrow z)) (\f z \rightarrow f (f z))
  =b> (\q r -> q) (\r -> (\f z -> f (f z)))
  =b> \r -> (\r -> (\f z -> f (f z)))
Any correct reduction sequence will end in \r \rightarrow (\r \rightarrow (\f z \rightarrow f (f z))).
```

- 2. (3 points) Which of the following is true?
 - There *may* be **more than one** sequence of reduction steps that reduces a given lambda calculus expression to normal form, **but for the particular expression from question 1**, there is **exactly one**.
 - $\sqrt{}$ There may be more than one sequence of reduction steps that reduces a given lambda calculus expression to normal form, and for the particular expression from question 1, there is more than one.
 - There is *always* **exactly one** sequence of reduction steps that reduces a given lambda calculus expression to normal form.
 - O There is *always* **more than one** sequence of reduction steps that reduces a given lambda calculus expression to normal form.

For the next two questions, you may use any of the helper functions defined in the provided lambda calculus reference at the end of the exam.

Recall from the midterm that we can encode *lists* in lambda calculus using pairs, as follows:

```
let NIL = \x -> TRUE
let CONS = PAIR
let HEAD = FST
let TAIL = SND
let ISNIL = \lst -> lst (\h t -> FALSE)
```

The list constructors are NIL and CONS. NIL is the empty list, and a non-empty list consists of a pair of a list element and a list.

```
For instance, (CONS ONE (CONS TWO (CONS THREE NIL))) is analogous to the Haskell list [1, 2, 3], which is just syntactic sugar for 1: (2: (3: [])).
```

The ISNIL function takes a list and returns TRUE if the list is NIL and FALSE otherwise.

(Note: Unlike in Haskell, elements of these lists don't all have to be of the same type! It's fine to have a list like CONS FALSE (CONS ONE NIL), for example.)

3. (2 points) Define a lambda calculus function PREPENDFALSE that takes a list and returns a new list that has FALSE as its first element, followed by all the elements of the original list. The resulting list is therefore one element longer than the original list was.

You may assume that PREPENDFALSE is called with a list constructed with NIL or CONS. Here are some example calls to PREPENDFALSE:

```
PREPENDFALSE NIL =*> CONS FALSE NIL

PREPENDFALSE (CONS TRUE NIL) =*> CONS FALSE (CONS TRUE NIL)

PREPENDFALSE (CONS ONE NIL) =*> CONS FALSE (CONS ONE NIL)

let PREPENDFALSE =
```

```
Solution: One simple correct answer is:
```

```
\lst -> CONS FALSE lst
```

4. Define a lambda calculus function SUMLIST that takes a list of Church numerals and returns the sum of its elements as a Church numeral.

Here are some example calls to SUMLIST:

```
SUMLIST NIL = > ZERO

SUMLIST (CONS TWO NIL) = > TWO

SUMLIST (CONS TWO (CONS ONE NIL)) = > THREE

SUMLIST (CONS ZERO (CONS THREE (CONS ZERO NIL))) = > THREE
```

You may assume that SUMLIST is called with a list constructed with NIL or CONS, and that all of the list's elements are Church numerals. You must use recursion for full credit.

a. (3 points) 4(a):

Solution: This is where we check a condition to know whether we are in the base case or not. (ISNIL lst) is a correct answer.

b. (3 points) 4(b):

Solution: This is the base case. ZERO is a correct answer.

c. (3 points) 4(c):

Solution: This is the recursive case. (ADD (HEAD 1st) (rec (TAIL 1st))) is a correct answer.

d. (3 points) 4(d):

Solution:

Y SUMLIST1

Part 2: Haskell

The Haskell reference at the end of the exam has information about library functions used in this section.

5. (3 points) What is the **type** of the following Haskell expression?

```
\q r s -> [q, r]

\( \text{Type error} \)
\( \text{a} -> a -> a -> [b] \)
\( \text{a} -> a -> b -> [b] \)
\( \text{a} -> a -> a -> [a] \)
\( \text{a} -> a -> b -> [a] \)
```

6. (3 points) What is the **type** of the following Haskell expression?

7. (3 points) What is the **type** of the following Haskell expression?

8. (3 points) What is the **type** of the following Haskell expression?

9. For this problem, you can use any library functions from the Haskell reference at the end of the exam, but no other library functions.

Consider a Haskell function andList:: [Bool] -> Bool that takes a list of expressions of Bool type and returns True if all elements of the list evaluate to True, and False otherwise. Here are some example calls to andList:

```
ghci> andList []
True
ghci> andList [True, False, True, True]
False
ghci> andList [True, 3 == 3, True && True]
True
```

a. (3 points) Define andList in the box below. The type signature is provided for you. Your definition should use pattern matching, and should *not* be tail-recursive.

```
andList :: [Bool] -> Bool
```

```
Solution: One simple correct solution is:
andList [] = True
andList (x:xs) = x && andList xs
```

Since the question calls for a definition that uses pattern matching, a definition that uses head and tail would not be correct. (Those functions aren't in the allowed library functions.)

b. (3 points) Now define andList', which has the same type signature and behavior as andList, but is written using foldr. (Hint: You can do this in one line of code.)

```
andList' :: [Bool] -> Bool
```

```
Solution:

andList' l = foldr (&&) True l

It's also OK to eta reduce:

andList' = foldr (&&) True
```

c. (4 points) Finally, define andListTR, which has the same type signature and behavior as andList, but is tail-recursive.

```
andListTR :: [Bool] -> Bool
```

```
Solution: One approach is to use a helper function:
```

```
andListTR 1 = helper 1 True
  where helper :: [Bool] -> Bool -> Bool
    helper []    b = b
    helper (x:xs) b = helper xs (x && b)
```

You do not have to give a type signature to the helper function, though it might make it easier to read. (It's also possible to do this with foldl, but foldl is not one of the allowed library functions.)

Part 3: Working with Abstract Syntax Trees

In this section and the next section, we'll use the following Expr data type, which defines a grammar of abstract syntax trees (ASTs) for *SmolHaskell*, a language with variables, integer literals, let-expressions, addition, function definitions, and function calls:

For example, the program let $f = \langle z \rangle + 5$ in f 3 has the following AST:

```
Let "f" (Lam "z" (Add (Var "z") (Num 5))) (App (Var "f") (Num 3))
```

10. (2 points) Be the parser: translate the following SmolHaskell program into its corresponding Expr.

```
(let x = 4 in x + 5) + ((\x -> x) 7)
```

- 11. (5 points) Let us define the *depth* of a SmolHaskell expression as follows:
 - The depth of a variable or an integer literal is 1.
 - The depth of a lambda abstraction $\x -> e$ is 1 + the depth of e.
 - The depth of a let-expression let x = e1 in e2, an addition expression e1 + e2, or an application e1 e2 is 1 + the maximum of the depth of e1 and the depth of e2.

Define a Haskell function depth that takes an Expr and returns its depth as an Int. You can use the library functions in the Haskell reference at the end of the exam, but no other library functions. The type signature of depth is provided for you below; fill in the rest of the definition. Here are some sample calls to depth:

```
> depth (Var "x")
1
> depth (Add (Var "x") (Num 4))
2
> depth (Add (Add (Var "x") (Num 1)) (Num 2))
3
> depth (Lam "x" (Add (Add (Var "x") (Num 1)) (Num 2)))
4
> depth (App (Lam "x" (Var "x")) (Lam "x" (Var "x")))
3
> depth (Let "x" (Num 5) (Add (Var "x") (Num 3)))
3
depth :: Expr -> Int
```

Solution: A simple implementation is:

```
depth :: Expr -> Int
depth (Var s) = 1
depth (Num n) = 1
depth (Let s e1 e2) = 1 + max (depth e1) (depth e2)
depth (Add e1 e2) = 1 + max (depth e1) (depth e2)
depth (Lam s e) = 1 + depth e
depth (App e1 e2) = 1 + max (depth e1) (depth e2)
```

12. (10 points) An occurrence of a variable in a SmolHaskell expression is *free* if it is not bound by an enclosing lambda abstraction or let binding.

For example, in the expression let x = 5 in x + 3, there are no free occurrences of variables; in the expression let x = 5 in x + y, there is a free occurrence of y; and in $(\x -> y)$ x, both y and x occur free.

Define a Haskell function freeVars that takes an Expr and returns a list of variables that occur free in it (in any order). You can use the library functions in the Haskell reference at the end of the exam, but no other library functions. The type signature of freeVars is provided for you below; fill in the rest of the definition.

Here are some sample calls to freeVars:

```
-- x + y
> freeVars (Add (Var "x") (Var "y"))
["x","y"]
-- \y -> x + x
> freeVars (Lam "y" (Add (Var "x") (Var "x")))
["x"]
-- (let x = 5 in x) + (let y = 5 in x)
> freeVars (Add (Let "x" (Num 5) (Var "x")) (Let "y" (Num 5) (Var "x")))
["x"]
```

For full credit, a variable that occurs free more than once in an expression should only appear once in the list returned by freeVars. Hint: Use the nub and (\\) list operations.

```
freeVars :: Expr -> [String]
```

Solution: In a let-expression let x = e1 in e2, if the language supports recursive let, then occurrences of x in e1 are not considered free. In that case, this is a correct solution:

```
freeVars :: Expr -> [String]
freeVars (Var s) = [s]
freeVars (Num _) = []
freeVars (Let s e1 e2) = nub (freeVars e1 ++ freeVars e2) \\ [s]
freeVars (Add e1 e2) = nub (freeVars e1 ++ freeVars e2)
freeVars (Lam s e) = freeVars e \\ [s]
freeVars (App e1 e2) = nub (freeVars e1 ++ freeVars e2)
```

On the other hand, if the language does **not** support recursive let, then occurrences of x in e1 **are** considered free. In that case, the Let case of freeVars would change to:

```
freeVars (Let s e1 e2) = nub (freeVars e1 ++ (freeVars e2 \setminus [s]))
```

We'll accept either answer for this problem.

Part 4: Interpreters and Environments

13. (20 points) Next, we'll be writing an environment-passing interpreter for Exprs, so let's set up some machinery for doing that. Ideally, an Expr will evaluate to a Value, as defined by the following data type:

```
data Value = ValNum Int | ValClos String Expr ListEnv
```

where ListEnv is a simple list representation of an environment, which maps program variables (represented as Strings) to their Values:

```
type ListEnv = [(String, Value)]
```

Here is the function that we'll use for looking up the values of program variables in an environment:

```
lookupInEnv :: ListEnv -> String -> Maybe Value
lookupInEnv [] k = Nothing
lookupInEnv ((k',v):env') k =
  if k == k' then Just v else lookupInEnv env' k
```

The type signature of our interpreter will be:

```
eval :: ListEnv -> Expr -> Maybe Value
```

The Maybe type is there because things can go wrong during the evaluation of an Expr. In particular, it could contain an unbound variable, like Add (Var "x") (Num 5), or it could be ill-typed, like App (Num 3) (Num 5) or Add (Lam "x" (Var "x")) (Num 5). If either of those things happen, we want our interpreter to return Nothing. If nothing goes wrong, our interpreter should return a Value wrapped in the Just constructor.

We are now ready to implement eval. The type signature and the cases for Var and Add expressions are provided for you; your job is to implement the Num, Lam, App, and Let cases.

• Functions should evaluate to closure values.

Hints:

Solution:

- In a let-expression let x = e1 in e2, you will want to evaluate e1, then evaluate e2 in an extended environment. Your interpreter does *not* need to support recursive functions.
- In an application expression e1 e2, you will want to evaluate e1 to a closure, and then evaluate the closure body in an extended environment.
- Because we're using a list representation of environments, you can use (:) to add things to an environment.
- You can use case expressions to pattern match on the result of recursive calls. Look at how the Add case is written for an example.

```
eval _ (Num n) = Just (ValNum n)
eval env (Lam s body) = Just (ValClos s body env)
eval env (Let s e1 e2) = case eval env e1 of
   Just v -> eval ((s,v):env) e2
   _ -> Nothing
eval env (App e1 e2) = case (eval env e1, eval env e2) of
   (Just (ValClos s b e), Just a) -> eval ((s,a):e) b
   _-> Nothing
```

There is no need to write an extendEnv helper function for this question (since it would just call (:)), but it is OK to do so.

Part 5: Unification and Type Inference

In the context of unification and type inference, we've discussed the concept of *substitutions*. A substitution is a mapping from *type variables* to *types*, where the types may themselves contain type variables (or be type variables). For example,

[(a, Bool), (b, Int
$$\rightarrow$$
 c), (d, e)]

is a substitution that maps the type variable a to the type Bool, the type variable b to the type Int -> c, and the type variable d to the type e.

14. (2 points) If possible, write down a substitution that is *a unifier* for the types Int -> a and a -> b. If these types do not unify, write "Cannot unify".

Solution: One simple correct solution is:

```
[(a, Int), (b, Int)]
```

Other solutions are also possible.

15. (2 points) If possible, write down a substitution that is *a unifier* for the types Int -> a and a. If these types do not unify, write "Cannot unify".

Solution: Cannot unify

16. (2 points) If possible, write down a substitution that is *a unifier* for the types a -> b and c -> Int -> d. If these types do not unify, write "Cannot unify".

Solution: One correct solution is:

```
[(a, c), (b, Int -> d)]
```

Here, $c \rightarrow Int \rightarrow d$ is syntactic sugar for $c \rightarrow (Int \rightarrow d)$, so [(a, c $\rightarrow Int$), (b, d)] would be an incorrect answer. However, other solutions are possible.

17. (2 points) If possible, write down a substitution that is *a unifier* for the types Bool and a -> b. If these types do not unify, write "Cannot unify".

Solution: Cannot unify

18. (2 points) If possible, write down a substitution that is *a unifier* for the types a -> b and a -> c. If these types do not unify, write "Cannot unify".

Solution: One correct solution is: [(b, c)] Other solutions are possible. For instance, [(c, b)] is also correct.

19. In section 4 of this exam, we wrote an interpreter for SmolHaskell. Here's what should happen if you tried to evaluate the expression $(\x -> x) + 3$:

$$>$$
 eval [] (Add (Lam "x" (Var "x")) (Num 3)) Nothing

To catch these kinds of errors prior to run time, we can define a *type system* for SmolHaskell and then implement a *type checker*. Below are the typing rules we'll use, all of which are standard:

G |- n :: Int

G |- let x = e1 in e2 :: T2

Let's use our typing rules to make sure that an expression in SmolHaskell is well-typed. The expression we'll consider is let f = x - x + 1 in f = 2.

For each blank below, fill in a type or the name of a typing rule to complete the typing derivation.

We are using the following abbreviations for type environments:

a. (1 point) 19(a):

Solution:

T-Var

b. (1 point) 19(b):

Solution:

T-Int

c. (1 point) 19(c):

Solution:

T-Add

d. (1 point) 19(d):

Solution:

T-Var

e. (1 point) 19(e):

Solution:

T-Int

f.	(1 point) 19(f):
	Solution: Int
g.	(1 point) 19(g):
	Solution: T-Lam
h.	(1 point) 19(h):
	Solution: T-App
i.	(1 point) 19(i):
	<pre>Solution: Int -> Int</pre>
j.	(1 point) 19(j):
	Solution: Int
k.	(1 point) 19(k):
	Solution: T-Let

Lambda Calculus Reference

```
-- Church numerals
let ZERO = \f x -> x
let ONE = \f x -> f x
let TWO = \f x -> f (f x)
let THREE = \f x -> f (f (f x))
-- Booleans
let TRUE = \xspace x y -> x
let FALSE = \xy -> y
let ITE = \b x y \rightarrow b x y
let AND = \b1 b2 -> ITE b1 b2 FALSE
-- Pairs
let PAIR = \xy \rightarrow (\b \rightarrow ITE b x y)
let FST = \prescript{p} -> p TRUE
let SND = p \rightarrow p FALSE
-- Lists
let NIL = \xspace x -> TRUE
let CONS = PAIR
let HEAD = FST
let TAIL = SND
let ISNIL = \lst -> lst (\h t -> FALSE)
-- Arithmetic
let SUC = \n f x -> f (n f x)
let ADD = \n m -> n SUC m
-- The definitions of DECR, SUB, ISZ, and EQL are elided
-- but you can still use them:
let DECR = \n -> -- (decrement n by one)
let SUB = \n m -> -- (subtract m from n)
let ISZ = \n -- (return TRUE if n == 0 and FALSE otherwise)
let EQL = \n m -> -- (return TRUE if n == m and FALSE otherwise)
-- Note: Since ZERO is the smallest Church numeral,
-- calls to DECR and SUB bottom out at ZERO.
-- For example, DECR ZERO evaluates to ZERO,
-- and SUB TWO THREE evaluates to ZERO.
-- The Y combinator
let Y = \text{step} \rightarrow ((x \rightarrow \text{step} (x x)))
```

Haskell Reference

```
• map :: (a -> b) -> [a] -> [b]
map f [] = []
map f (x:xs) = f x : map f xs
```

• (+) :: Num a => a -> a

Returns the sum of its two arguments, e.g.,

• max :: Ord a => a -> a -> a

Returns the maximum of its two arguments, e.g.,

• (&&) :: Bool -> Bool -> Bool

The logical 'and' operation.

• (++) :: [a] -> [a] -> [a]

Append two lists, e.g.,

• nub :: [a] -> [a]

Remove duplicate elements from a list, e.g.,

• (\\) :: [a] -> [a] -> [a]

Compute the difference of two lists. In the result of $xs \setminus ys$, the first occurrence of each element of ys in turn (if any) has been removed from xs. Thus ((xs ++ ys) xs) == ys, e.g.,

```
> ["a", "b", "c"] \\ ["a"]
["b", "c"]
> ["a", "b", "c", "a"] \\ ["a", "c"]
["b", "a"]
```

• (==) :: Eq a => a -> a -> Bool

Compare arguments for equality, e.g.,

```
> False == True
False
> "apple" == "apple"
True
```