

# CSE114A Lecture 2

Agenda:

- intro to lambda calculus

the Greek letter  $\lambda$

a system of reasoning.

1936  $\lambda$ -calculus was invented (Alan Turing)

1936  $\lambda$ -calculus was invented (Alonzo Church)

both universal models of

computation.

What features would you expect a PL to have?

conditionals

variables

Functions

objects/

classes

expressions

operators  
(+, -, etc.)

types  
(booleans, numeric types,  
user-defined types)

looping constructs  
(for, while, ...)

(Lambda)

$\lambda$ -calculus only has functions.

Everything else we might want has to be encoded using functions!

- Functions

- way to define them (Function definition)

- way to call them (Function application)

$e ::= \boxed{x, y, z}$

aka function call  
variables

$\boxed{\lambda x. e}$

function definition.

$\boxed{e_1 e_2}$

function application

function we're calling

argument to that function

✓ Syntax - what programs look like.

Semantics - what programs mean.

$\lambda y. y$  ← also the identity function

$\boxed{\lambda x. x}$  ← the identity function.

takes an argument and just returns that argument

$\boxed{\lambda f. f (\lambda x. x)}$

← a function that applies its argument to the identity function

an application!

paper syntax

$\lambda x. x$

Eisa syntax

$\backslash x \rightarrow x$

$\lambda f. f (\lambda x. x)$

$\backslash f \rightarrow f (\backslash x \rightarrow x)$

What about semantics?

We've talked about syntax, but what do  $\lambda$ -calculus programs mean?

One way to talk about meaning is operational semantics:

how do programs execute step by step?

The essence of computation in  $\lambda$ -calculus is substitution.

$\boxed{\text{def identity(x):}}\bracket{\text{return } x}$

$\rightarrow \text{identity(3)}$

$3$

We substituted  $3$  for  $x$ .

evaluates to

$(\lambda x. e_1) \underset{\substack{\text{function} \\ \text{argument}}}{\underset{=} \longrightarrow} \underset{\substack{\text{e}_1, \text{ but with all} \\ \text{occurrences of } x \\ \text{in } e_1 \text{ replaced} \\ \text{by } e_2.}}{e_1[x := e_2]}$

$(\lambda x. x) \underset{\substack{\text{identity} \\ \text{function}}}{\underset{=} \longrightarrow} (\lambda y. y) \underset{\substack{\text{argument}}}{\longrightarrow} x[x := \lambda y. y] = \lambda y. y$

$(\lambda x. x) y \underset{\substack{\text{identity} \\ \text{function}}}{\underset{=} \longrightarrow} y$

Let's try encoding Booleans and conditionals using  $\lambda$ -calculus.

but first... how do we write functions with more than one argument?

$\lambda x. y. x \leftarrow \text{what we want}$

$(\lambda x. y. x)$  apple banana

$((\lambda x. (\lambda y. x)) \underset{\substack{\text{function being applied} \\ \text{to apple}}}{\underset{=} \longrightarrow} \text{apple}) \underset{\substack{\text{banana}}}{\longrightarrow}$

$(\lambda y. \text{apple}) \underset{\substack{\text{banana}}}{\longrightarrow}$

apple

let TRUE =  $\lambda x. (\lambda y. x)$

let FALSE =  $\lambda x. (\lambda y. y)$

(A classic way to encode Booleans in lambda calculus.)

Known as a Church encoding.

if Conditionals then branch 1 else branch 2

let ITE =  $\lambda b. (\lambda x. (\lambda y. (b x) y))$

"if then else"

How to read this:

$b x y$

Apply  $b$  to  $x$ ,

then apply the

result of that to  $y$ .