#### CSE 114A: Fall 2023

# Foundations of Programming Languages

# Polymorphism and Type Inference

Owen Arden UC Santa Cruz

Based on course materials developed by Nadia Polikarpova

# Roadmap

#### Past two weeks:

How do we implement a tiny functional language?

- 1. Interpreter: how do we evaluate a program given its AST?
- 2. Parser: how do we convert strings to ASTs?

This week: adding types

How do we check statically if our programs "make sense"?

- 1. Type system: formalizing the intuition about which expressions have which types
- 2. Type inference: computing the type of an expression

2

### Reminder: Nano2

### Reminder: Nano2

Which one of these Nano2 programs is well-typed? \*

- $\bigcirc$  (A) (\x -> x) + 1
- (B) 1 2
- $\bigcirc (C) \text{ let } f = \x -> x + 1 \text{ in } f (\y -> y)$
- $\bigcirc (D) \x -> \y -> x y$
- $\bigcirc$  (D) (\y -> 1 + y) (1 + 2) => 1 + 1 + 2
- (E) \x → x x



http://tiny.cc/cse116-nanotype-ind

4

# Reminder: Nano2

Which one of these Nano2 programs is well-typed? \*

- $(A) (\x -> x) + 1$
- (B) 1 2
- $\bigcirc (C) \text{ let } f = \x -> x + 1 \text{ in } f (\y -> y)$
- $\bigcirc (D) \x -> \y -> x y$
- $\bigcirc$  (D) (\y -> 1 + y) (1 + 2) => 1 + 1 + 2
- (E) \x → x x



http://tiny.cc/cse116-nanotype-grp

5

### QUIZ

Answer: D.

A adds a function;

B applies a number;

C defines f to take an Int and then passes in a function;

E requires a type  $\mathsf{T}$  that is equal to  $\mathsf{T} \to \mathsf{T}$ , which doesn't exit.

# Type system for Nano2

A type system defines what types an expression can have

To define a type system we need to define:

- the syntax of types: what do types look like?
- the static semantics of our language (i.e. the typing rules): assign types to expressions

7

# Type system: take 1

```
Syntax of types:
```

```
T ::= Int -- integers
| T1 -> T2 -- function types
```

Now we want to define a *typing relation* e :: T (e has type T)

We define this relation inductively through a set of typing rules:

What is the type of a variable?

We have to remember what type of expression it was bound to!

# Type Environment

An expression has a type in a given **type environment** (also called **context**), which maps all its *free variables* to their *types* 

```
G = x1:T1, x2:T2, ..., xn:Tn
```

Our typing relation should include the context **G**:

```
G | - e :: T (e has type T in context G)
```

# Typing rules: take 2

# Typing rules

```
G |- e :: T

An expression e has type T in G if we can derive G |- e :: T using these rules

An expression e is well-typed in G if we can derive G |- e :: T for some type T
```

• and ill-typed otherwise

11

# Examples

### **Examples**

13

# Examples

```
Example 3:
```

```
We cannot derive: [] |-(x -> x x) :: T \text{ for any type } T
We cannot find any type T to fill in for x, because it has to be equal to T -> T
```

14

# A note about typing rules

According to these rules, an expression can have zero, one, or many types

- examples?
- 1 2 has no types; 1 has one type (Int)

 $\xspace x$  has many types:

we can derive [] |- \x -> x :: Int -> Int
 or [] |- \x -> x :: (Int -> Int) -> (Int -> Int)
 or T -> T for any concrete T

We would like every well-typed expression to have a single most general type!

- most general type = allows most uses
- · infer type once and reuse later

Is this program well-typed according to your intuition and according to our rules? \*

- (A) Me: okay, rules: okay
- (B) Me: okay, rules: nope
- (C) Me: nope, rules: okay
- (D) Me: nope, rules: nope



http://tiny.cc/cse116-typed-ind

16

# QUIZ

Is this program well-typed according to your intuition and according to our rules? \*

- (A) Me: okay, rules: okay
- (B) Me: okay, rules: nope
- (C) Me: nope, rules: okay
- O (D) Me: nope, rules: nope



http://tiny.cc/cse116-typed-grp

17

### QUIZ

Answer: B.

# Double identity

```
let id = \x -> x in
  let y = id 5 in
  id (\z -> z + y)
```

Intuitively this program looks okay, but our type system rejects it:

- in the first application, id needs to have type Int -> Int
- in the second application, id needs to have type (Int -> Int) -> (Int -> Int)
- the type system forces us to pick *just one type* for each variable, such as id:(

What can we do?

19

# Polymorphic types

Intuitively, we can describe the type of id like this:

- it's a function type where
- the argument type can be any type T
- the return type is then also T

20

# Polymorphic types

We formalize this intuition as a polymorphic type: for all a . a  $\rightarrow$  a

- where a is a (bound) type variable
- also called a type scheme
- Haskell also has polymorphic types, but you don't usually write forall a.

We can **instantiate** this scheme into different types by replacing **a** in the body with some type, e.g.

- instantiating with  $\mbox{Int}$  yields  $\mbox{Int}$  ->  $\mbox{Int}$
- instantiating with Int -> Int yields (Int -> Int) -> Int -> Int
- etc.

### Inference with polymorphic types

```
With polymorphic types, we can derive e :: Int -> Int where e is
let id = \x -> x in
let y = id 5 in
id (\z -> z + y)
```

At a high level, inference works as follows:

- 1. When we have to pick a type T for x, we pick a fresh type variable a
- 2. So the type of  $\x -> x$  comes out as a -> a
- 3. We can generalize this type to forall a . a -> a
- 4. When we apply id the first time, we instantiate this polymorphic type with Int
- When we apply id the second time, we instantiate this polymorphic type with Int ->Int

Let's formalize this intuition as a type system!

22

### Type system: take 3

#### Syntax of types

mere a c rrar, r c rype, s c rot

#### Type Environment

The type environment now maps variables to poly-types:  $G: Var \rightarrow Poly$ 

• example, G = [z: Int, id: forall a . a -> a]

23

### Type system: take 3

#### Type Substitutions

We need a mechanism for replacing all type variables in a type with another type

A type substitution is a finite map from type variables to types:  $\mbox{U}$ :  $\mbox{TVar}$  ->  $\mbox{Type}$ 

• example: U1 = [a / Int, b / (c -> c)]

To apply a substitution  $\boldsymbol{U}$  to a type  $\boldsymbol{T}$  means replace all type vars in  $\boldsymbol{T}$  with whatever they are mapped to in  $\boldsymbol{U}$ 

```
    example 1: U1 (a -> a) = Int -> Int
    example 2: U1 Int = Int
```

What is the result of the following substitution application? \*

- (A) c -> d -> c
- (B) (c -> c) -> d -> (c -> c)
- (C) Error: no mapping for type variable d
- (D) Error: type variable a is unused



http://tiny.cc/cse116-subst-ind

25

### QUIZ

What is the result of the following substitution application? \*

- (A) c -> d -> c
- $\bigcirc$  (B) (c -> c) -> d -> (c -> c)
- (C) Error: no mapping for type variable d
- (D) Error: type variable a is unused



http://tiny.cc/cse116-subst-grp

26

#### QUIZ

Answer: B

# Typing rules

We need to change the typing rules so that:

1. Variables (and their definitions) can have polymorphic types

28

# Typing rules

2. We can instantiate a type scheme into a type

```
G |- e :: forall a . S
[T-Inst] -----
G |- e :: [a / T] S
```

3. We can *generalize* a type with free type variables into a type scheme

```
G |- e :: S

[T-Gen] ----- if not (a in FTV(G))

G |- e :: forall a . S
```

29

# Typing rules

The rest of the rules are the same:

### **Examples**

31

# **Examples**

```
Example 2

Let's derive: G1 |- id 5 :: Int where G1 = [id : (forall a . a - > a)]:

[T-Var]------

G1 |- id :: forall a.a -> a

[T-Inst]------[T-Num]

G1 |- id :: Int -> Int G1 |- 5 :: Int

[T-App] -------

G1 |- id 5 :: Int
```

32

# Examples

```
Example 3
Finally, we can derive:
```

```
(let id = \x -> x in
  let y = id 5 in
  id (\z -> z + y)) :: Int -> Int
```

### **Examples**

# Type inference algorithm

Our ultimate goal is to implement a Haskell function infer which

- given a context G and an expression e
- returns a type T such that G | e :: T
- or reports a type error if e is ill-typed in G

35

### Representing types

First, let's define a Haskell datatype to represent Nano2 types:

#### Inference: main idea

Let's implement infer like this:

- 1. Depending on what kind of expression e is, find a typing rule that applies to it
- If the rule has premises, recursively call infer to obtain the types of subexpressions
- 3. Combine the types of sub-expression according to the conclusion of the rule
- 4. If no rule applies, report a type error

37

#### Inference: main idea

```
infer :: TypeEnv -> Expr -> Type
infer _ (ENum _) = TInt
infer tEnv (EVar var) = lookup var tEnv
infer tEnv (EAdd e1 e2) =
  if t1 == TInt && t2 == TInt
    then return TInt
    else throw "type error: + expects Int operands"
  where
    t1 = infer tEnv e1
    t2 = infer tEnv e2
...
```

This doesn't quite work (for other cases). Why?

38

### Inference: tricky bits

The trouble is that our typing rules are *nondeterministic*!

• When building derivations, sometimes we had to guess how to proceed

```
Problem 1: Guessing a type
```

```
-- oh, now we know!

[T-Var]------

[x:?] |- x: Int [x:?] |- 1 :: Int

[T-Add]------

[x:?] |- x + 1 :: ?? -- what should "?" be?

[T-Abs]-------

[] |- (\x -> x + 1) :: ? -> ??
```

### Inference: tricky bits

```
Problem 1: Guessing a type
So, if we want to implement
infer tEnv (ELam x e) = tX :=> tBody
   where
    tEnv' = extendTEnv x tX tEnv
    tX = ??? -- what do we put here?
   tBody = infer tEnv' e
```

40

# Inference: tricky bits

Problem 2: Guessing when to generalize

In the derivation for

```
(let id = \x -> x in
let y = id 5 in
id (\z -> z + y)) :: Int -> Int
```

we had to guess that the type of id should be generalized into

forall a . a -> a

Let's deal with problem 1 first

41

# Constraint-based type inference

#### Main idea:

- 1. Whenever you need to "guess" a type, don't.
  - o just return a fresh type variable
  - fresh = not used anywhere else in the program
- 2. Whenever a rule *imposes a constraint* on a type (i.e. says it should have certain form):
  - try to find the right substitution for the free type vars to satisfy the constraint
  - this step is called unification

### Example

```
Let's infer the type of x \rightarrow x + 1:
                                                         Inferred type
-- TEnv
             Expression
                                             Subst
1 []
             \x \rightarrow x + 1
                            [T-Abs]
                                             []
2 [x:a0]
                            [T-Add]
                             [T-Var]
                                                         a0
                            unify a0 Int [a0/Int]
5 [x:Int]
                            [T-Num]
                                                         Int
                            unify Int Int
                    x + 1
                    x + 1
                                                         Int
8 []
             \x \rightarrow x + 1
                                                         Int -> Int
```

43

## Example

```
1. Infer the type of (x \rightarrow x + 1) in [] (apply [T-Abs])
```

- 2. For the type of x, pick fresh type variable (say, a0); infer the type of x + 1 in [x:a0](apply [T-Add])
- 3. Infer the type of x in [x:a0] (apply [T-Var]); result: a0
- [T-Add] imposes a constraint: its LHS must be of type Int, so unify a0 and Int and update the current substitution to [a0 / Int]
- 5. Apply the current substitution [a0/Int] to the type environment [x:a0] to get [x:Int]. Infer the type of 1 in [x:Int] (apply [T-Num]); result: Int
- [T-Add] imposes a constraint: its RHS must be of type Int, so unify Int and Int; current substitution doesn't change\
- 7. By conclusion of [T-Add]: return Int as the inferred type\
- 8. By conclusion of [T-Lam]: return Int -> Int as the inferred type

44

#### Unification

The unification problem: given two types T1 and T2, find a type substitution U such that U T1 = U T2.

Such a substitution is called a *unifier* of T1 and T2

#### Examples:

The unifier of:

```
and Int
                           is [a / Int]
          and Int -> Int is [a / Int]
a -> a
a -> Int and Int -> b
                          is [a / Int, b / Int]
Int
          and Int
                           is []
а
          and a
                           is []
          and Int -> Int cannot unify!
Int
                           cannot unify!
Int
          and a \rightarrow a
                           cannot unify!
          and a -> a
```

What is the unifier of the following two types? \*

- 2. b -> c
- (A) Cannot unify
- (B) [a / Int, b / Int -> Int, c / Int]
- (C) [a / Int, b / Int, c / Int -> Int]
- (D) [b / a, c / Int -> Int]
- (E) [a / b, c / Int -> Int]



http://tiny.cc/cse116-unify-ind

46

### QUIZ

What is the unifier of the following two types? \*

- 1. a -> Int -> Int
- 2. b -> c
- (A) Cannot unify
- (B) [a / Int, b / Int -> Int, c / Int]
- (C) [a / Int, b / Int, c / Int -> Int]
- (D) [b / a, c / Int -> Int]
- (E) [a / b, c / Int -> Int]



http://tiny.cc/cse116-unify-grp

47

### QUIZ

(C), (D) and (E) are all unifiers!

But somehow (D) and (E) are better than (C)

- they make the *least commitment* required to make these types equal
- this is called the most general unifier

#### Infer: take 2

```
Let's add constraint-based typing to infer!
-- | Now has to keep track of current substitution!
infer :: Subst -> TypeEnv -> Expr -> (Subst, Type)
infer sub _ (ENum _)
                            = (sub, TInt)
infer sub tEnv (EVar var) = (sub, lookup var tEnv)
-- Lambda case: simply generate fresh type variable!
infer sub tEnv (ELam x e) = (sub1, tX' :=> tBody)
  where
    tEnv'
                   = extendTEnv x tX tEnv
    tΧ
                   = freshTV -- we'll get to this
    (sub1, tBody) = infer sub tEnv' e
    tX'
                   = apply sub1 tX
```

#### Infer: take 2

```
-- Add case: recursively infer types of operands
-- and enforce constraint that they are both Int
infer sub tEnv (EAdd e1 e2) = (sub4, TInt)
where
(sub1, t1) = infer sub tEnv e1 -- 1. infer type of e1
sub2 = unify sub1 t1 Int -- 2. constraint: t1 is Int
tEnv' = apply sub2 tEnv -- 3. apply subst to context
(sub3, t2) = infer sub2 tEnv' e2 -- 4. infer e2 type in new ctx
sub4 = unify sub3 t2 Int -- 5. constraint: t2 is Int
```

Why are all these steps necessary? Can't we just return (sub, TInt)?

50

49

#### QUIZ

Which of these programs will type-check if we skip step 3? \*

```
infer sub tEnv (EAdd e1 e2) = (sub4, TInt)
where
  (sub1, t1) = infer sub tEnv e1 -- 1. infer type of e1
sub2 = unify sub1 t1 Int -- 2. enforce constraint: t1 is Int
tEnv' = apply sub2 tEnv -- 3. apply substitution to context
  (sub3, t2) = infer sub2 tEnv' e2 -- 4. infer type of e2 in new ELX
sub4 = unify sub3 t2 Int -- 5. enforce constraint: t2 is Int
```

- (A) 12+3
- (B) 1 + 2 3
- (C) (\x -> x) + 1
- $\bigcirc$  (D) 1 + (\x -> x)
- (E) \x -> x + x 5



http://tiny.cc/cse116-infer-ind

Which of these programs will type-check if we skip step 3?\*

(A) 12+3

(B) 1 + 2 3

(C) (\x -> x) + 1

 $\bigcirc$  (D) 1 + (\x -> x)

○ (E) \x -> x + x 5



52

### QUIZ

Answer: E.

A fails in step 1 (LHS is ill-typed);

B fails in step 4 (RHS is ill-typed);

C fails in step 2 (LHS is not Int);

D fails in step 5 (RHS is not Int);

finally, E should fails because LHS and RHS by themselves are fine, but not together!

53

# Fresh type variables

```
-- | Now has to keep track of current substitution!
infer :: Subst -> TypeEnv -> Expr -> (Subst, Type)

-- Lambda case: simply generate fresh type variable!
infer tEnv (ELam x e) = tX :=> tBody
where
   tEnv' = extendTEnv x tX tEnv
   tX = freshTV -- how do we do this?
   tBody = infer tEnv' e
```

#### Intended behavior:

- First time we call freshTV it returns a0
- Second time it returns a1
- · .. and so on

Can we do that in Haskell?

No, Haskell is pure. Have to thread the counter through:(

### Polymorphism: the final frontier

#### Back to double identity:

- When should we to generalize a type like a -> a into a polymorphic type like forall a .a -> a?
- When should we instantiate a polymorphic type like foral1
   a . a -> a and with what?

55

# Polymorphism: the final frontier

#### Generalization and instantiation:

- Whenever we infer a type for a let-defined variable, generalize it!
  - it's safe to do so, even when not strictly necessary
- Whenever we see a variable with a polymorphic type, instantiate it
  - with what type?
  - well, what do we use when we don't know what type to use?
  - fresh type variables!

56

### Example

```
Let's infer the type of let id = \xspace x \rightarrow x in id 5:
-- TEnv Expression
                                                 Subst
                                                                  Туре
          let id=\x->x in id 5
                                                 []
                                  [T-Abs]
                 \x->x
  [x:a0]
                                  [T-Var]
                                                                  a0 -> a0
                 \x->x
         let id=\x->x in id 5
                                  generalize a0
6 tEnv
                          id 5
                                 [T-App]
                                 [T-Var]
                          id
                                                                  a1 -> a1
                                 instantiate
                                 [T-Num]
                                                                  Int
                                 unify (a1->a1)
                                       (Int->a2) [a1/Int,a2/Int]
                          id 5
         let id=\x->x in id 5
                                                                  Int
```

Here tEnv = [id : forall a0.a0->a0]

#### What we learned this week

Type system: a set of rules about which expressions have which types

Type environment (or context): a mapping of variables to their types

**Polymorphic type:** a type parameterized with type variables that can be instantiated with any concrete type

**Type substitution:** a mapping of type variables to types; you can **apply** a substitution to a type by replacing all its variables with their values in the substitution

**Unifier** of two types: a substitution that makes them equal; **unification** is the process of finding a unifier

58

#### What we learned this week

Type inference: an algorithm to determine the type of an expression

**Constraint-based type inference:** a type inference technique that uses fresh type variables and unification

**Generalization:** turning a mono-type with free type variables into a polymorphic type (by binding its variables with a forall)

**Instantiation:** turning a polymorphic type into a mono-type by substituting type variables in its body with some types